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were already adept at using. ${ }^{1}$

This is a fairly challenging problem from the c .100 AD Chinese mathematical work, Jiǔ zhāng suàn shù (The Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art) found at the MAA Convergence website ([1]).

Now a good horse and an inferior horse set out from Chang' an to Qi. Qi is $3000 l i$ from Chang'an. The good horse travels $193 l i$ on the first day and daily increases by 13 li ; the inferior horse travels $97 l i$ on the first day and daily decreases by $1 / 2 l i$. The good horse reaches Qi first and turns back to meet the inferior horse. Tell: how many days until they meet and how far has each traveled?

The solution involves common fractions, which the Chinese

## Solution

Figure 1 shows the space-time diagram for the traveling horses. Let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}$ be the distance the slow horse traveled in time $t$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ the distance the fast horse traveled in time $t$. Let T be the time they meet. Then T is made up of $n$ integral days and $x$ fraction of a day.

Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}+\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}-3000\right)=3000 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=6000$

## Whole Day Computations

Let's consider first the distances traveled in
 whole days $n$. Then, for example, after 4 days the slow horse will have traveled

$$
\mathrm{S}_{4}=97+(97-1 / 2)+(97-1 / 2-1 / 2)+(97-1 / 2-1 / 2-1 / 2)=4 \cdot 97-1 / 2(1+2+3)
$$

or after $n$ days the slow horse will have traveled

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{n}=97 n-1 / 2(1+2+3+\ldots+(n-1))=97 n-1 / 2(n(n-1) / 2) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the fast horse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{n}=193 n+13(1+2+3+\ldots+(n-1))=193 n+13(n(n-1) / 2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from equation (1) we are looking for the largest whole number $n$ such that

$$
\mathrm{S}_{n}+\mathrm{F}_{n} \leq 6000 \text { and } \mathrm{S}_{n+1}+\mathrm{F}_{n+1}>6000
$$

That is,

[^0]$$
\mathrm{S}_{n}+\mathrm{F}_{n}=290 n+25 / 4\left(n^{2}-n\right)=25 / 4 n^{2}+1135 / 4 n \leq 6000
$$

Let's consider this expression depending on a continuous time $t$ in order to find the value $t$ such that we have equality, that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{S}_{t}+\mathrm{F}_{t}=25 / 4 t^{2}+1135 / 4 t=6000  \tag{4}\\
25 t^{2}+1135 t-24000=0 \\
5 t^{2}+227 t-4800=0
\end{gather*}
$$

or
or
Then

$$
t=\frac{-227 \pm \sqrt{227^{2}+20 \cdot 4800}}{10}=\frac{-227+384.0950403}{10}=15.70950403
$$

So the largest whole number is 15 , which means the horsemen traveled 15 days plus a fraction of a day.

## Fraction of Day Computations

So each horseman traveled for some fraction $x$ of the $16^{\text {th }}$ day when they meet. We assume each horseman is riding at a constant speed for the whole day, though it is a different speed from the previous days. Then

$$
\mathrm{S}_{16}-\mathrm{S}_{15}=(\text { constant speed })(1 \text { day }) \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{S}_{16}-\mathrm{S}_{15}\right) x=(\text { constant speed })(x \text { fraction of day })
$$

and $\quad \mathrm{F}_{16}-\mathrm{F}_{15}=($ constant speed $)(1$ day $) \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{F}_{16}-\mathrm{F}_{15}\right) x=($ constant speed $)(x$ fraction of day $)$
Thus equation (1) becomes for $\mathrm{T}=15+x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{15}+\mathrm{F}_{15}+x\left(\left(\mathrm{~S}_{16}-\mathrm{S}_{15}\right)+\left(\mathrm{F}_{16}-\mathrm{F}_{15}\right)\right)=6000 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from equation (2)

$$
\mathrm{S}_{15}=(97-14 / 4) \cdot 15=1402.5 \mathrm{li}
$$

and from equation (3)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{15}=(193+13 \cdot 14 / 2) \cdot 15=4260 \mathrm{li}
$$

From equation (2) we get

$$
\mathrm{S}_{n+1}-\mathrm{S}_{n}=97-1 / 2 n \Rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{16}-\mathrm{S}_{15}=97-1 / 2 \cdot 15=89.5 l i
$$

and from equation (3) we get

$$
\mathrm{F}_{n+1}-\mathrm{F}_{n}=193+13 n \Rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{16}-\mathrm{F}_{15}=193+13 \cdot 15=388 l i
$$

So equation (5) becomes

$$
1402.5+4260+x(89.5+388)=6000
$$

Therefore

$$
x=337.5 / 477.5=135 / 191
$$

And so the horsemen traveled $\mathrm{T}=15{ }^{135} / 191$ days. The good fast horse traveled

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{F}_{15}+x\left(\mathrm{~F}_{16}-\mathrm{F}_{15}\right)=4260+\left({ }^{135} / 191\right) 388=45344^{46} / 191
$$

and the inferior slow horse traveled

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{S}_{15}+x\left(\mathrm{~S}_{16}-\mathrm{S}_{15}\right)=1402.5+\left({ }^{135} / 191\right) 89.5=1465{ }^{145} / 191 / i
$$

Comment．Note that the answer for the time $15{ }^{135} / 191=15.70681$ ，which is the solution from equation（5）and the answer given in the Nine Chapters，does not equal the time $t=15.70950$ ， which is the solution to the quadratic equation （4），though they are close．Initially，I thought they would be the same．

But a closer look at the nature of the two equations explains the difference（Figure 2）． Equation（4）is a smooth parabolic curve， whereas equation（5）represents a linear interpolation along a curve made up of straight line segments．And since the parabolic curve is


Figure 2 concave up，the time $15+x$ reached by the line segment at $6000 l i$ is less than the time $t$ when the parabola reaches 6000 li ．One might even argue that the parabolic shape is closer to a physical continuous change in speed of the horses than the broken line curve，but that is not how the original problem was interpreted apparently．
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[^0]:    1 See my post on "Making Arrows" (https://josmfs.net/2024/06/22/making-arrows/) where I delve into the history of common fractions in Chinese mathematics, as well as a brief mention of Indian fractions.

