## **Peirce's Law**

13 June 2023

Jim Stevenson



The June 2023 *Carnival of Mathematics* # 216<sup>1</sup> at Eddie's Math and Calculator Blog has the rather arresting item concerning Peirce's Law from the American logician Charles Sanders Peirce<sup>2</sup> (1839 – 1914).

**Peirce's Law: Jon Awbrey of the Inquiry Into Inquiry blog** ([1])

This article explains Pierce's Law and provides the proof of the law. The proof is provided in two ways: by reason and graphically. Simply put, for propositions P and Q, the law states:

P must be true if there exists Q such that the statement "if P then Q" is true. In symbols:

Wikipedia

 $((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$ 

The law is an interesting tongue twister to say the least.

Perhaps another way of saying it is "if the implication  $P \Rightarrow Q$  implies that P is true, then P must be true." Still, it sounds weird.

## Proof

Rather than follow Aubrey's presentation of the original, I think it is easier to use truth tables.<sup>3</sup> Recall that  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is logically equivalent to  $\sim (P \land \sim Q)$ , that is, "if P is true, then Q is true" is equivalent to "what must never happen is for P to be true and Q false," that is,  $\sim (P \land \sim Q)$ . Here is the truth table to show  $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow \sim (P \land \sim Q)$ , where A  $\Leftrightarrow$  B means A is true whenever B is true and false whenever B is false, or A is true if and only if B is true.

( <b>P</b>	⇒	<b>Q</b> )	₽	~ (	Р	^	(~	<b>Q</b> ))
(1)	(2)	(1)	(5)	(4)	(1)	(3)	(2)	(1)
Т	Т	Т	Т	Τ	Т	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т	Τ	F	F	F	Т
Т	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т	F
F	Т	F	Т	Т	F	F	Т	F

Recall that the numbers in parentheses at the top of the columns represent the sequential steps in filling in the truth values, where step (1) is assigning all T, F combinations to statements P and Q. Since the two statements each can have two values, there are four possible combinations and so four rows in the table. Step (2) gives the T, F values for  $P \Rightarrow Q$  and  $\sim Q$  respectively. Step (3) resolves

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://edspi31415.blogspot.com/2023/06/carnival-of-mathematics-216.html, retrieved 6/13/2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> JOS: Pronounced "purse". Note the "e" comes before the "i".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> JOS: For more explanation see the "Appendix: Vacuous Truth" in my post "Pinocchio's Hats" (https://josmfs.net/2022/07/09/pinocchios-hats/).

 $P \land \neg Q$ , and step (4)  $\neg$ ( $P \land \neg Q$ ). Finally step (5) shows the equivalence holds for all values of P and Q, that is, we have a tautology.

Then the truth table for  $((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$  is

(( <b>P</b>	⇒	<b>Q</b> )	$\Rightarrow$	<b>P</b> )	⇒	Р
(1)	(2)	(1)	(3)	(1)	(4)	(1)
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	Т	F	F	Т	F
Т	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	F	F	Т	F

Again, the fact that the final result in the truth table is a tautology (always true) means that Peirce's Law holds no matter what the values of P and Q are.

## References

[1] Awbrey, Jon, "A Curious Truth of Classical Logic", *Inquiry into Inquiry*, 6 October 2008. (https://inquiryintoinquiry.com/2008/10/06/peirces-law/)

© 2023 James Stevenson